



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_42657
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELLING THE MEAN WAITING TIMES FOR QUEUES IN SELECTED BANKS IN ELDORET TOWN-KENYA
Type of the Article	Data Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is nicely written with adequate theoretical justifications and data support. However it lacks novelty in theory.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In page last of para 73 the three dots may be removed. Instead you may write 'This leads to'. In many references the page numbers are missing.	Correction made accordingly
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Paper may be accepted for publication after these minor modifications..	