



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_42657
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELLING THE MEAN WAITING TIMES FOR QUEUES IN SELECTED BANKS IN ELDORET TOWN-KENYA
Type of the Article	Data Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Lines 10 and 11 of the abstract should be re-framed. All past tenses should be changed to present. See line 11 "was" Why use "λ" twice? See lines 44 and 46. The sum of the values in line 83, numerators (420+417+410+406+394) did not agree with the total value in line 84 "numerator (2146)". The author made use of over 20 references but did not cite any in body of the work. <p>References should be cited in the body of the work before adding it in the list of references.</p> <p>Plagiarism issues: Plagiarism check (35%) is still within acceptable region depending on the journal's standard.</p>	<p>Corrected</p> <p>Correction effected</p> <p>Changes have been made</p> <p>Numerical value has been corrected</p> <p>All references have been cited within the text</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>