



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

<b>EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)</b>	<b>Authors' response to editor's comments</b>
<p>1. The methodology is very scanty; authors did not describe how they did the review.</p> <p>2. There is no result section in the body of the manuscript. Authors seem to interchange result with discussion. A review should first show what was found before discussing the significance of such findings.</p>	<p>Kindly note this is a 'review Article'. As per the standard guideline of a 'Review Article', this paper is a review of different research articles published in peer reviewed journals. So it cannot follow the original research article guidelines comprising of Abstract, Introduction, Result, Discussion and Conclusion. There will be no empirical data acquired by the authors but will include discussion of papers published and data acquired in a specific area.</p> <p>We have arranged the full paper according to the journal style.</p>