



EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>I have thoroughly studied the manuscript and my observations are as follows;</p> <p><b>Abstract;</b>                      No paras should be given in the abstract. All abstract should be in one para.</p> <p><b>Introduction;</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It should be summed up in two or at the most three paras.</li> <li>2. Materials and Methods;</li> <li>3. Latitudes and longitudes are always mentioned with degree E, N, W, S, according to hemesphere of earth in which the place is located. here no °N, °W, °E, °S is mentioned.</li> <li>4. Elevations is always written as above mean sea level.</li> <li>5. at page 3. where from the sample was taken. from basin/canopy shade area or outer area is not mentioned so it is clear.</li> <li>6. What is the source soil analysis legend? As far as I know, internationally these standards are followed nowhere. Are these limits for various parameters standardized for the given country?</li> <li>7. it is mentioned that complete 14-14-14 fertilizer was used, which means K has been applied along with PN to all treatments. It is not clear then how control plot and other levels of K were maintained.</li> <li>8. How come O.C. and O.C. can change to such a large extent within a span of 9 months that too without the usage of any organic manure/inputs? Increase in the status of all the parameters in case of control plots is nor understandable.</li> </ol> <p><b>Results and discussion;</b>                      Penology, flower development, fruit development, monthly flushes have been described in detail along with photographs. It is a good work for publication in a book or an article in a magazine or newspaper. Effect of K on these parameters has not been studied. So, these are unnecessary details which have been added to increase the volume/pages of the paper. In a good research paper only the effect of the applied treatment on the studied parameters are mentioned and are justified with good reasoning supported with literature cited.</p> <p>Lastly, the title does not justify the content.</p>	<p><b>The abstract, introduction and methods have been edited.</b>  <b>The location is just NE based on GPS.</b>  <b>Sample middle trees not canopy.</b>  <b>Soil analysis legend is based on Philippine standards with reference to international standards.</b>  <b>The 14-14-14 was only applied to with K treatments.</b>  <b>The OC result was based on actual analysis.</b>  <b>In the results and discussion, Penology, flower development, fruit development, monthly flushes have been described in detail along with photographs as deemed necessary to provide background of the specific variety used in study among the many varieties of pummelo available. It is equally important knowledge as the geographical location and soil analysis as prescribed by the reviewers. Yet, if the editor insist, these can be deleted.</b>  <b>The title is revised to fit the content as follows:</b>  <b>Phenology of 'Magallanes' Pummelo (<i>Citrus maxima</i>) Trees and its Growth and Development as Influenced by Potassium Nutrition</b></p>