



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_49481
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Efficiency of Grasscutter Production (<i>Thryonomys swinderianus</i>) in Osun State, Nigeria: A case of Data Envelopment Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Aigner and Chu 1977, no correspond to reference 2 ✓ To clarify the sampling method used. ✓ CRS is no defined ✓ Is important define the inputs and outputs for the production units, and their measurement units ✓ x_{ij} and Y_j are no defined 	Noted and effected.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Explain the difference between: Twenty-four respondents and seventy-two grasscutter farmers involved. ✓ To correct: alocatively ✓ Various acronyms are no defined, for example EE, AE 	Noted . all necessary observations have been duly corrected and effected.
Optional/General comments		