



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_48757
Title of the Manuscript:	Qualitative and quantitative phytochemical screening, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities of acetone extract of Brassica juncea L. leaf
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>It is very well-marked that this study is acceptable with minor revision and useful for publish in this journal. The discussion section can be developed with literature data.</p> <p>Note: Please, I want to see manuscript again after the corrections.</p> <p>In addition to, please add some papers and you can use them in manuscript.</p> <p>Please see below for papers.</p> <p>[3] Sevindik M. Investigation of Antioxidant/Oxidant Status and Antimicrobial Activities of Lentinus tigrinus. Advances in pharmacological sciences. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/1718025</p> <p>[6] Sevindik M. Investigation of oxidant and antioxidant status of edible mushroom Clavariadelphus truncatus. The Journal of Fungus. 2018; 9(2): 165-168</p> <p>[10] Sevindik M, Akgul H, Pehlivan M, Selamoglu Z. Determination of therapeutic potential of Mentha longifolia ssp. longifolia. Fresen Environ Bull. 2017; 26: 4757-4763.</p>	As per reviewer's comments the suggested references have been incorporated in the manuscript.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	NO