



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRB_49985</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Toxicity Impact on Bioaccumulation of Potentially Toxic Elements in African Giant Land Snail (<i>Archachatina marginata</i>) treated with different soils and Its Ecological Risk Assessment</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>All manuscripts parts are cleared and need some corrections as following:</b> <b>1) Abstract:</b> the no. of total words is about 394 words which need to diminish to not increase than 250 words. Please remove the 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph of the abstract and put it in the introduction part. <b>2) Introduction:</b> is suitable. <b>3) Materials &amp; methods; is moderate.</b>	Noted and corrected
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Need some corrections	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
If plagiarism is suspected, <b>please provide related proofs or web links.</b>	<b>A lot of paragraphs are plagiarized and need correction as following:</b> 1) About 6% of the abstract and introduction were plagiarized 2) About 12% from the methodology were plagiarized. 3) The results, discussion and conclusion were unique. <b>All plagiarized report is attached as word file.</b>	edited