



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_49507
Title of the Manuscript:	Urticaria associated with amoeboid forms of Blastocystis spp
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	An interesting case series linking Blastocystis to urticaria reaction. Minor comments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Would authors recommend routine stool examination in the evaluation of patients with urticarial? If so, under what specific settings/ situations? - Photomicrograph of a Blastocystis from one of the patients should be provided. - Is the organism identified by routine microscopy? Any special confirmatory test required? - Why was tinidazole used instead of metronidazole? 	We recommend routine stool investigation in any patient with chronic urticaria and eosinophilia. Unfortunately, no Blastocystis photomicrograph of the concrete patients is available. The microorganism was identified by routine microscopy. We do not have other equipment and possibilities. Tinidazole is a preferred medication since it provides better patients' compliance.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	