



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	AsianJournalofResearchinInfectiousDiseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_49507
Title of the Manuscript:	Urticaria associated with amoeboid forms of Blastocystis spp
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript describes the symptoms, analyses performed and pharmacological treatment of six patients with diagnosis of Blastocystis spp infection. The paper is well written with results and discussion properly reported, thus I	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	At the fourth line, second page of the manuscript, please correct the word "regimen".	The spelling mistake is corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	