



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_50140
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Phytochemical, Antimicrobial Activities and Toxicological Analysis of Scent Leaf (<i>Ocimum gratissimum</i> L.) Leaf Extracts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>It is very well-marked that this study is acceptable with minor revision and useful for publish in this journal.</p> <p>Note: Discussion section should be develop.</p> <p>Note: References should be arranged according to the journal rules.</p> <p>NOTE: I'd like to see the article again after the corrections.</p> <p>In addition to, please add some pape and you can use them in manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Sevindik M. Investigation of Antioxidant/Oxidant Status and Antimicrobial Activities of Lentinus tigrinus. Advances in pharmacological sciences, 2018; https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/1718025 Sevindik M, Akgul H, Dogan M, Akata I, Selamoglu Z. Determination of antioxidant, antimicrobial, DNA protective activity and heavy metals content of Laetiporus sulphureus. Fresenius Environmental Bulletin. 2018;27(3): 1946-1952. Mohammed FS, Akgul H, Sevindik M, Khaled BMT. Phenolic content and biological activities of Rhus coriaria var. zebaria. Fresenius Environmental Bulletin. 2018;27(8): 5694-5702. 	<p>The discussion section was enriched as suggested.</p> <p>The journal rules were duly used in the list of references as suggested.</p> <p>All the binomial nomenclatures were duly corrected as suggested. However, based on the current nomenclature of Salmonella serovars, the serovar <i>Salmonella enteric</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>, serovar Typhi, is written in shorten form with the genus name followed by the capitalized and non-italicized serovar. So, the full nomenclature is <i>Salmonella</i> Typhi NOT <i>Salmonella typhi</i>. https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Salmonella_enterica_subsp._enterica#Serovars</p> <p>Suggested references were considered and some were utilized in the manuscript.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	