



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_49707
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING WINGED BEAN ( <i>Psophocarpus tetragonolobus</i> (L) DC) ACCESSIONS USING AGRONOMIC CHARACTERS.
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Arrange the Thirty-eight (38) winged bean accessions in table 1 in ascending (sequential) order</li> <li>2. Table 2 and 3 are not important to the text. If required put them in the annexes.</li> <li>3. Arrange the top ten and bottom ten in table 4 and 5 in ascending (sequential) order</li> <li>4. It is good that winged bean accessions evaluated especially the top 10 ones can be further worked on, for better information on its nutrition</li> </ol>	<p>The arrangement of the accession has been done as suggested. Table 2 and 3 are used to show the variations due to the studied accessions on each of the parameter (i.e. to see the respective p-values for each parameter)</p> <p>The arrangement of the top and bottom accession in Table 4 is done with respect of number of days it takes each accession to achieve 50% flowering; hence the arrangement was done in order of earliness to attain 50% flowering whereas the arrangement in Table 5 was based on the number of seeds each accession produced per pod. Therefore, the arrangement was done in descending order.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and effort towards making this manuscript better.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Revisions has to be done as above comments	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues for this manuscript.