



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_49707
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING WINGED BEAN (Psophocarpus tetragonolobus (L) DC) ACCESSIONS USING AGRONOMIC CHARACTERS.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Screening winged bean (<i>Psophocarpus tetragonolobus</i> (L) DC) accessions using agronomic characters." is an important piece of work describing the Screening winged bean accessions using agronomic characters. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts. The literature review is thorough. Figures and tables were presented properly. I approve of it for publication after following minor revision in the manuscript as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minimum keywords should be five, meaningful and arranged alphabetically. 2. Follow the similar reference pattern of the journal. 3. Revised the whole manuscript carefully and tried to avoid any grammar or syntax error. 4. See other corrections with attached files. 	<p>Thank you for your compliments, they are warmly received.</p> <p>The keywords have been updated.</p> <p>The referencing style of the journal has been followed strictly.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and effort towards making this manuscript better.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Screening winged bean (<i>Psophocarpus tetragonolobus</i> (L) DC) accessions using agronomic characters." is an important piece of work describing the Screening winged bean accessions using agronomic characters. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts. The literature review is thorough. Figures and tables were presented properly. I approve of it for publication after following minor revision in the manuscript as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minimum keywords should be five, meaningful and arranged alphabetically. 2. Follow the similar reference pattern of the journal. 3. Revised the whole manuscript carefully and tried to avoid any grammar or syntax error. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Screening winged bean (<i>Psophocarpus tetragonolobus</i> (L) DC) accessions using agronomic characters." is an important piece of work describing the Screening winged bean accessions using agronomic characters. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts. The literature review is thorough. Figures and tables were presented properly. I approve of it for publication after following minor revision in the manuscript as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minimum keywords should be five, meaningful and arranged alphabetically. 2. Follow the similar reference pattern of the journal. 3. Revised the whole manuscript carefully and tried to avoid any grammar or syntax error. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	