



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_44541
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELS DESIGNED TO INCREASE THE WORK OF REVERSIBLE DISC PLOW
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In title the authors mentioned "Models Designed", but I could not find any models they have designed and discussed in the manuscript.</p> <p>Nothing mentioned regarding the reversible disc plow</p> <p>What's the Fig. 2, it needs to be mentioned clearly</p> <p>Nothing mentioned regarding the improvement of performance of disc plow</p> <p>Technically the article need to improve</p>	<p>Authors very much appreciate the comments of the reviewers.</p> <p>Information has been given in revision</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	