



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_44541
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELS DESIGNED TO INCREASE THE WORK OF REVERSIBLE DISC PLOW
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title and abstract are appropriate to the study. Introduction contains sufficient background information with defined objective. Methodology needs some additional details. Results are presented and interpreted nicely. Discussion is weak and also lacks supporting references. Conclusion is good. References need revision as per guidelines of the journal.	Thank you for your constructive comments. We have rechecked and incorporated all the issues raised by the reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments	Language needs improvement, most of the sentences are not clear.	Language error has been resolved
Optional/General comments	Indicated in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	