



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_50223
Title of the Manuscript:	DYNAMIC BUCKLING ANALYSIS OF A CLAMPED AND VISCOUSLY DAMPED COLUMN RESTING ON A QUADRATIC – CUBIC ELASTIC FOUNDATION BUT PRESSURIZED BY A STEP LOAD
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>-The paper present a complete analysis of the problem and their solutions in each case.</p> <p>-The author must short write a more short title. The title is very large.</p> <p>-The author could updating the references. Even include at least two references of the 2017 and 2018 years of related works.</p> <p>-The research is original.</p> <p>-The author in the conclusions must give examples of applications in rheology, or hydrodynamics on the solutions founded.</p> <p>-The author must include in the paper the 2010 AMS Classification.</p>	<p>The tittle of the paper has been shortened as requested.</p> <p>More recent references have been added. See references 25 – 29.</p> <p>The authors have cited some engineering applications in the conclusion section.</p> <p>We have included the 2010 AMS classification immediately after the abstract.</p> <p>Thank you for the comments which have helped to improve the work.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	