



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_50179
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of health risk associated with heavy metal contamination of edible vegetables in cement contaminated area.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author(s) should review result on heavy metal analysis in vicinity of other cement industries since no relevant waork had been done around united cement factory in Akamkpa, Cross River State Nigeria	This has been effected
Minor REVISION comments	The author should check the manuscript to make correction and answer some questions raised	g represents gravitational force or relative centrifugal force (number of times gravitational force); rpm (number of rotations per minute) The reason for slightly higher HQ for Mn in <i>Telfaria Occidentalis</i> (1.11 compared to <1.0) is not known but may be related to the higher daily intake since this vegetable is the most consumed on daily basis in the population studied. The HI which represent the potential risk to human health through more than one metal may suggest that excessive consumption of these edible vegetables should be discouraged. Moderation should be the watch word
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	