



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_49244
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF BLACK SEED (NIGELLA SATIVA) AND UZIZA (PIPER GUINEENSE) LEAF ON THE HISTOLOGY OF THE LIVER OF WISTAR ALBINO RATS
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract is wrong, not concise and must be reduced to at least 250 words in one paragraph</p> <p>The introduction is too lengthy and needed to be reduced to at most 3 to 4 paragraphs</p> <p>The keywords needs to be reduced to at least 5 words</p> <p>The statistical analysis presentation is faulty and needed to be corrected cos the superscript and the data presented in the table are speaking contradictory language</p> <p>Their is no conclution in the body of the work and this could make the work unpublishable</p>	<p>The abstract is not wrong and it conforms with the format given by the journal. The abstract has been reduced. It cannot be in one paragraph as the journal wants the abstract in sections (aim, materials and method, results and discussion) this is what the author has done. So the author followed the correct format as required by the journal.</p> <p>Keywords have been reduced to five words.</p> <p>Statistical analysis have been corrected.</p> <p>Conclusion has been added to the work.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	The corrections within the body of the work need to effected	Corrections in the body of the work have been effected.
Optional/General comments	The work can be published if this key corrections are effected	Corrections have been made.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	