



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_49244
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF BLACK SEED (NIGELLA SATIVA) AND UZIZA (PIPER GUINEENSE) LEAF ON THE HISTOLOGY OF THE LIVER OF WISTAR ALBINO RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper (Full length article).

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>THE MANUSCRIPT DEALS WITH THE HEPATOPROTECTIVE ACTIVITY OF THE SELECTED MEDICINAL PLANTS. THE PREPARATION OF THE MANUSCRIPT IS POOR AND IT SHOULD BE IMPROVED.</p> <p>THE INTRODUCTION PART IS TOO LENGTHY AND IT SHOULD BE CONCISED.</p> <p>MANY FORMATTING ERRORS SEEN THROUGHOUT THE MANUSCRIPT AND THEY SHOULD BE CORRECTED.</p> <p>THE EXTRACT PREPARATION IS NOT CLEAR AND IT SHOULD BE REWRITE.</p> <p>THE DOSE USED IS ALSO CONTROVERSIAL AND THE AUTHORS ARE STRONGLY SUGGESTED THAT TO MENTION THE ACUTE TOXICITY STUDIES ON THESE PLANTS.</p> <p>IF THEY INCLUDE THE ANTIOXIDANT PARAMETERS, IT WILL GIVE MORE SUPPORT TO THE FINDINGS.</p> <p>THE AUTHORS SHOULD MENTION ABOUT THE PHYTOCHEMICALS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE OBSERVED ACTIVITY.</p> <p>IF THESE CORRECTIONS WILL BE MADE, IT MAY BE CONSIDERED FOR PUBLICATION.</p>	<p>The authors feel that the introduction is not too long It is good the way it is.</p> <p>Antioxidant parameters are outside the scope of this study.</p> <p>The extract preparation was clearly written.</p> <p>The phytochemical responsible for the activity was mentioned.</p> <p>The manuscript has been improved as the authors have also added conclusion to this study alongside other corrections.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	