



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_49040</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of ultraviolet rays and electromagnetic waves on soya bean seeds' growth, development and productivity on presowing period.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Key words:</b> According to GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS (available in <a href="http://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/about/submissions#authorGuidelines">http://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/about/submissions#authorGuidelines</a>), should be given 4-8 key words. In the manuscript are 12, so it is necessary to reduce the number of them.</p> <p><b>Results:</b> You say that there was not a significant difference between treatments. What was the statistical test used to prove this? Why is there no significance in the tables presented? It is imperative to place the statistical significance in the tables.</p> <p>I strongly suggest that the GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS be checked because some aspects are not in the rules, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Number of key words;</li> <li>- A brief literature review in introduction (it is missing);</li> <li>- Conclusion (it is missing);</li> <li>- References topic (it is not Resources).</li> </ul>	Changes according to comments were made and highlighted
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	