



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_49040
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of ultraviolet rays and electromagnetic waves on soya bean seeds' growth, development and productivity on presowing period.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic should read Effect of ultraviolet rays and electromagnetic waves on soya bean seed growth development and productivity before sowing. 2. What you have written is not an abstract. It is just full of story-telling without any results and experimental procedures and experimental design. Please rewrite the section. 3. The keywords are too many. Make it five or six maximum. 4. What problem are you trying to address by carrying out this research? Please, clearly state the statement of the problem in the introduction. 5. What you have written as methods should be materials and methods. 6. There is no experimental design for the experiment. Please, write a concise experimental design for the experiment to enhance understanding of the experimental layout. 7. No method of data analysis. How did you analyse your data? 8. Your discussion have no supporting citations. You need to support your findings with relevant literature. 9. What you have written as resources should be written references. 10. The manuscript needs intense grammatical corrections. Please, make compulsory grammatical corrections in the entire manuscript. 11. Arrange the manuscript according to journal specifications. 	Necessary changes were made and highlighted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	