



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_49635
Title of the Manuscript:	Crop growth and productivity of rainy maize-garden pea cropping sequence as influenced by Kappaphycus and Gracilaria saps at alluvial soil of West Bengal, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The aim of the study especially in the abstract is clear. Good paper, but the abstract doesn't capture some of the important findings.	Thanks for the comments. We modified the abstract accordingly.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	NA	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Generally, there some few typos that should be checked	Checked and corrected accordingly.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	