



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_49865
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial proteins: key components of innate immunity
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Antimicrobial proteins: key components of innate immunity. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts for review article. The literature review is OK. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.</p> <p>1. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>).</p> <p>2. Follow reference pattern according to journal</p>	The manuscript has been thoroughly modified
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Antimicrobial proteins: key components of innate immunity. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts for review article. The literature review is OK. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.</p> <p>1. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>).</p> <p>2. Follow reference pattern according to journal</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>1. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>).</p> <p>2. Follow reference pattern according to journal</p>	



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	