



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_50046
Title of the Manuscript:	METEOROLOGICAL MODELS FOR DETERMINATION OF SURFACE RADIO REFRACTIVITY OVER NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract should read as a summary. The first paragraph can be removed (reads like an introduction) All variables in italics. Reference to figures, equations and tables in capitals, as in "See Figure 2" 1.92: absolute temperature T (K) 1. 244: Rh is used for relative humidity while on 1.97 H is used Suggestion: From all figures "line of best fit" can be removed, since it is obvious from the context.	Corrected
Optional/General comments	This manuscript seems to be OK. Some minor comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	