



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_50058
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Migraine and Trigger factors among Adult Rural Sudanese Population 2018
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Is there any efforts to address potential sources of bias?	Could you please identify the areas of bias in our manuscript? The study design was clear regarding selection criteria of the respondents.
Minor REVISION comments	How about to control for confounding factor?	The study design was not case control, not cohort and even not RCT to control for confounding. It is just a cross section epidemiological study answered who, when and where
Optional/General comments	Is there any recommendation from this study?	Yes It is mentioned in conclusion (A gap exists in the diagnosis of migraine that needs more studies and efforts to alleviate the burden on individuals with migraine, relatives and community at large)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	