



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_42691
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of heavy metal (lead) removal from aqueous solution by using advanced oxidation process (Fenton)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is no necessary write Anemia with capital letter in page 1. 2. pH range was instead of "pH rang was" in page 3 (Step 1) 3. (NaOH and HCl) instead of (NaoH and Hcl), page 3 (step 2) 4. The symbol of lead is "Pb", check figure 1. 5. The quality of the figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 could be improved. 6. The results section needs to be improved. 7. In page 6. The diagram of effect of optimum lead concentration indicates... which diagram is referring to? 8. It is recommended to write the results and discussions in only one section, in order to include the conclusions section. 9. It is recommended to update the bibliographical references. Does not include any of 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2018. 	Noted
Optional/General comments		