



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Chemical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_49733
Title of the Manuscript:	Hybrid Precipitated Calcium Carbonate Containing Wood Flour for Paper Applications–A Comparative Handsheet Study
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The introduction is recommended to be improved or strengthened. The author(s) should highlight the rationale for the study and explain why it is necessary.</li> <li>2. Improve on the abstract taking note of the grammatical errors.</li> <li>3. Give full names of abbreviations when mentioned for the first time. Eg. TEA use</li> <li>4. The 2 at the end of carbon dioxide is a subscript i.e. CO<sub>2</sub> not CO2. Check for all others.</li> <li>5. There is no deep discussion cited from previous studies.</li> <li>6. The conclusion should be made more concise.</li> </ol>	<p>Added more information.</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done and checked</p> <p>There is not possible due tot the fact that nobody else has used wood flour for papermaking applications yet.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The Paper full of grammatical errors and spelling mistakes. There is the need to improve it.</b>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	