



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_49849
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Heavy Organics Composition on Wax Properties and Flow Behavior of Waxy Crude Oils
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>General Rev</p> <p>Individual In abstract SARA is in full spelling.</p> <p>Page 2 SARA is in full spelling.</p> <p>Page 2 have also arrived at a to have also proposed a</p> <p>Page 3 shorter alkanes to shorter alkaline changes</p> <p>Page 3 no water by Dean-Stark distribution, please cite papers.</p> <p>Page 4 API is in full spelling.</p> <p>Page 4 Stanhope-Seta KV-8 Viscometer bath insert company name.</p> <p>Seta Pour Point refrigerator, please cite manufacture name.</p> <p>2.2 SARA is in full spelling.</p> <p>Page 5 Agilent 7890A is from where company?</p> <p>UOP 46-64 method, please cite this method.</p> <p>Page 5 as the whole crude oil, please cite number describing whole crude oil.</p> <p>API is in full spelling.</p> <p>SARA is in full spelling.</p> <p>Page 6 This is as a result--- to This is a result---- please delete as.</p> <p>Page 7 Fig 2 GC, please insert GC conditions and sort of column used.</p> <p>Table 3 please supply information of GC conditions and sort of column used.</p> <p>Page 8 in theory, please supply citation or textbook content.</p> <p>Why do the discrepancy occur between theory and practice?</p> <p>Page 12 This is due to----- . Please insert citation or your data.</p> <p>Page 12 The viscosity--- with increase-- . to with increasing---</p>	<p>Abstract SARA has been written in full in the first appearance in the text Page 2 Recommended corrections have been made</p> <p>Page 3 The reference to shorter alkanes is correct</p> <p>The ASTM method used for Dean-Stark distillation as been included</p> <p>Page 4 API has been written in full</p> <p>Stanhope-Seta is manufacturer name and has been included</p> <p>Page 5 Agilent is manufacturer name and has been included</p> <p>'Whole crude oil' refers to the crude oil as obtained from the oil with all its components as against oil that has been separated into its fractions</p> <p>UOP method was adopted from a previous work by Mmata et al which is cited</p> <p>Page 6 Recommended correction on has been made</p> <p>Page 7 GC conditions, including column information has been provided in the methodology.</p> <p>Page 8 citation has been provided...changed to " in principle"..Subsequent sentence has been slightly modified for clearer understanding"...they are generally negligible and their peaks unresolved.." changed to "...their peaks are usually poorly resolved and are generally negligible"..</p> <p>The discrepancy is due to compositional effects which are discussed in the manuscript</p> <p>Page 12 Data has been inserted</p> <p>Page 12 Recommended correction made</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	