



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. To conclude on the weight of the rats it is important to know about the feed intake, which is not reported here. Also it is not clear how much of the blend the rats have been given. Does it constitute 100 % or 10% of the feed to the rats? The weight data are not very clear or consistent (please report any weight figures in whole grams). Further, a weight reduction is often considered as adverse effects. Therefore the authors should minimize the description of the weight data in the text as much as possible and don't mention it in the Conclusion. In addition, don't mention that the blend has a significant role to play according to blood glucose – you don't know that. Merely say that you observed a decrease in blood glucose and that it could be worthwhile to study it further.2. In the main text section 2.5.1-6 should be merged. Only mention the methods that have been followed and a reference and no text descriptions.3. The Discussion should be shortened considerably and description already given in the Results should be omitted. For example could section 3.2.4 be deleted as it is almost just a repetition?4. The corrections made should also be reflected in the Abstract.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Each of the rats were fed exclusively with the the test diets for the period of time the study lasted, except for the control groups that were fed with normal rat pallets The conclusion has been rephrased in accordance with your comments2. This has been taken care of3. Discussion has been shortened and descriptions already given in the results have been expunged from the discussion