



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ EJNFS _50073
Title of the Manuscript:	Contribution of eggs and other cholesterol-containing foods to total dietary cholesterol intake, and their influence on serum lipid profile of adults in Calabar, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. How did the mean for RENI/RDI measured? what age group was used to calculated mean? (line 242-245), RENI/RDI based on FNRI Philippines? Is there no local RENI/RDI?</p> <p>2. line 112-113 any number or code for the acquired ethical permit?</p> <p>3. Abstract... include/mention also intake of other cholesterol rich food like milk etc... since it is in the title of the study</p> <p>4. any discussion for lines 242-245... explain why total kcal intake of males exceeds the recommendation while not in females?</p>	<p>1. We do not have any local RNI values. We use that of FNRI</p> <p>2. There was no code given, just a written approval note which was duly signed.</p> <p>3. Now included</p> <p>4. Now discussed in lines 266 – 271.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In the discussion, socio-economic factors like educational attainment, income and occupation were not related to variables like DCI, total caloric intake etc...? Medical history or records were also not related to variables measured where in fact these were mentioned as part of the questionnaire?</p> <p>maybe limitations of the study may be included</p> <p>clarification for lines 295-296 regarding restrictions? maybe elaborate more</p>	<p>Now discussed</p> <p>-</p> <p>Done</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>why was lifestyle such as exercise, smoking and drinking not considered in the study?</p>	<p>Those risk factors are outside the scope of this particular study. We just want to focus only on the direct influence that dietary cholesterol intake has on serum lipid profile.</p>