



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ EJNFS _50090
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of chronic sweeteners consumption in lymphocytes of Peyer's patches of two mice strain
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript is interesting and provide important information regarding the effect of sweeteners on the lymphocytes of Peyer's patches and also others results regarding the changes in others parameters after consumption of these products. Nevertheless, there are observations mainly in the redaction of the manuscript that should be corrected or modified. The comments on this regard are presented in the right margin of manuscript and also in additional document provided with this.	The corrections were made in the document; they were marked in yellow for review. The comments in general were attended, particularly the congruence between the title and the sections of the document, as well as the drafting of the conclusions.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	