



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_50121
Title of the Manuscript:	Adverse Events Encountered In Platelet Aphaeresis Procedure and Their Management: A Retrospective Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>INTRODUCTION Missing reference number 6</p> <p>MATERIAL AND METHOD It would be convenient to include the selected inclusion and exclusion criteria for the use of this technique and if they were respected. You would add quality to your work by including the description of the technique and the characteristics of the machine and device used.</p> <p>RESULTS Check the exclusion criteria, to use this technique, in terms of age, weight and general state of the donors. With these general data, could be justified the emerging disorders by the donors.</p> <p>DISCUSSION Missing reference number 6, is also not in introduction.</p> <p>REFERENCES Verify: The data of the publications must be unified:..... Magazine. Year of publication; number (volume): pages.</p>	<p>Thank you for the suggestions</p> <p>Following changes have been made –</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reference number 3 added for the statement highlighted. 2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria added, as suggested. 3. Machine and procedure details added, as suggested. 4. The highlighted data is of patients. 5. Reference number 3 added in discussion. 6. References corrected as suggested.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	