

EDITORIAL COMMENT:

I have read the attached files, and in my opinion the opinion of one reviewer ("This is a good article but the writing style is very poor. Especially to manage the subsections. I am really confused whether the author wishes to present it as a review or research article. The Intro and materials method section need to be shortened to only 2.5. pages. Same be applied to the discussion section." is 100% valid. The scientific content of the study is quite valid, but the manuscript should be dramatically reduced in all its parts. Until now, it looks as the Author has simply re-submitted it without any real cut: also the number of total pages is identical to that of the older version.

In conclusion, the Author should deeply cut the manuscript, and it will become a good piece of science.

AUTOHOR'S FEEDBACK:

Dear editors, first I would like to appreciate your valuable comments given on manuscript and directed me to take some corrections for final edition. Therefore, I have taken a detailed correction on the manuscript, especially on the deduction of its size. The size has been reduced to 23 pages. I hope, the manuscript will be published soon.