



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_50105
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Alzheimer disease- Metformin connection-A brief review
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. First mention the full form of Alzheimer's disease abbreviation then write in short.</li> <li>2. Italicized <i>et al.</i></li> <li>3. First mention the full form of metformin abbreviation then written in short.</li> <li>4. The table 4 may be reframed in manner of columns with increased risk / reduced risk / reduced incidence and reported studies corresponding to it.</li> <li>5. Statistical information of clinical studies must be highlighted.</li> <li>6. Framed the references uniformly.</li> <li>7. There are many grammatical corrections are done throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>8. Few queries are asked to authors and their clarification is needed.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Compliance reported.</li> <li>2. compliance reported.</li> <li>3. compliance reported.</li> <li>4) Table 4 is renamed as table 5 and is remodelled in order fashion with respect to outcomes.</li> <li>5) compliance reported . The intention of the article is not to reproduce the study article in gull but to give a concussed account in a comparable manner. If interested , it is left to the choice of the reader to go through parts of studies in which they are interested for which necessary references are provided. Moreover such details are considered by the author to increase the length of the article. Separate paragraph is added under the heading , ' the authors observations ' , where the limitations of this article are discussed. A fresh table ( no 4) in the appendix is added to brief the various statistical measures and tests.</li> <li>6. Compliance is reported.</li> <li>7. Compliance is reported.</li> <li>8) They are not queries to the authors of the studies but observations by this author. These are purely personal opinions, subject to the satisfaction of the readers as to their rationality. No reply is warranted from any quarters.</li> </ol> <p>The author thanks the reviewer for his suggestions to improve the article and look forward to his approval of the manuscript.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		