



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_49173
Title of the Manuscript:	Distribution of Primary Nutrients (NPK) in Profiles of Soils derived from Coastal Plain Sand in Ikot Ekpo, Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract is too long. remove redundancies and reduce to 300 words maximum separate all values from their measurement units. Line 78/82/96/145/151/171/205/209/245/286/300/331 etc: do not italicize line 121: separate value & unit line 124: You must reference source of your map. line 163: model of AAS? line 173: use equation editor table footnotes are of smaller font size line 224/225: appears copy and pasted from source?	All the suggestions made by the reviewer have been done accordingly
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript was thoroughly researched, experimental design was logical and technical. Introduction and conclusion were very good. References are also good. Overall this long article is very good.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>