



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_50191
Title of the Manuscript:	CROP MULCHES FOR INCREASED WEED CONTROL AND RICE PRODUCTIVITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Research is actually a good work. but the planning and method is wrong. Two places and two years were used in the trial. In two years, different trials have been tried for one year. In fact, the experiment in the same place would have to be tried for two years. This situation should be explained why. No description. The properties examined in the research conducted in two different places are not the same. In an article, the same features can be given in different places and if they are used in the same year. This is a big mistake. Therefore, this article should be redesigned.	Correction made in the manuscript
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	