



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_49669
Title of the Manuscript:	APPLICATION OF A COMMUNITY DIAGNOSIS SURVEY APPROACH TO THE ASSESSMENT OF ADOLESCENT HEALTH AT KORLE WORKO, ACCRA, GHANA
Type of the Article	Survey

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Regarding Instruments “ tools” of the study: The researchers did not use any type of validity, reliability and pilot study before data collection process to test those tools.</p> <p>Regarding Sampling: They did not mention on what base they determine the sampling size : they should mention the sample size calculation Techniques before the study will be done</p> <p>In References: The numbers of references inside the text should be mentioned on the reference list in the back list Also they end with number 30 reference inside the text which may be not equal that number in the reference list???</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. We indicated that the questionnaires were pretested before use. We have added this sentence to make it clearer “ The questionnaires were pretested at Ayalolo , a community with similar characteristics as Korle Worko also in the Ashiedu Keteke sub-metropolitan area and corrections were made before use.” Page 6 line 18-20. Also, some of the questions on the health profile were adapted from a similar questionnaire used in the USA.</p> <p>We used a non-probability sampling method because we could not access all clusters due to avoid security risks and also because the students were limited by time so we did not determine a sample size and have captured this in the sampling methods and limitation section.</p> <p>We have corrected and listed the referencing at the back. They are 30 in number.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The research write “the necessary interventions for addresses these health and social problems”. But I did not found any Intervention inside the manuscript in the methodology or in the results. The abstract should be itemized, also the conclusion was not written which is the main item to be fulfilled 	<p>This has been edited page 1</p> <p>The abstract has been edited page 1</p> <p>It has been written. page 1</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION were presented together as one part But in most of researches it should be separated as a separate parts</p>	<p>They have been separated page</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	