



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_49961
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Benign Breast Lesions, Epithelial Proliferations with or Without Atypia in Calabar-A Retrospective Review
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The submitted manuscript describes prevalence and type of benign breast lesions of women in Nigeria. I suggest the following amendment prior to publication. Except of age no patient information is given. Since breast cancer is known have to specific risk factors, it were good if the description of the patient collective included some of them, for instance BMI, smoking, alcohol, HRT, children, family history, age at menarche/menopause, in the evaluation. Although the study focused on the situation in Africa, comparison with other regions of the word would increase the value for many readers.	We agree with the Reviewer, however being a retrospective study, many of these suggested risk factors were not so documented for these patients so could not be easily accessed. We intend to carry out a follow up case control study to find out these risks associated with the Nigerian breast cancer .For the suggested comparison with Europe and perhaps America we have included them as highlighted. Thank you.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	