



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_50018
Title of the Manuscript:	Solitary Osteochondroma of the Second Metacarpal Bone
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There is no info on the follow-up. It is essential when removing any tumor to have a comprehensive follow-up to detect recurrences and confirm good healing (one year is mandatory). I suggest adding infos on this and providing an new X-Ray with the healed lesion. The discussion is a bit too short and should be more detailed on the other potential localisations, to demonstrate the originality of this case. I understand the rarity of the case so be more clear to demonstrate this singularity!	Thank you for your valuable comments. The corrections have been made and highlighted.
Minor REVISION comments	It would be helpful to add the histological picture to confirm the diagnosis	
Optional/General comments	Interesting case report, not the first. Need revision before approval	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	