



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_49786
Title of the Manuscript:	HEALTH RISK ANALYSIS OF HEAVY METALS (Cr, Fe, Hg & Ni) IN EDIBLE VEGETABLES IN YALA URBAN AREA OF CROSS RIVER STATE, NIGERIA
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Still I am sorry but it is self-plagiarism. The text of both articles is in majority the same. If one compared the text of the manuscript with the one which has been published: http://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/article/view/30105/56480 the text of paragraphs are the same. They just changed the numbers. The whole paper is just auto-plagiarism.</p> <p>Additionally: The authors did not explained still why they in descriptions of table have other metals than in the table "Table 1: Mean concentration of Cd, Co, Cr, & Fe in mgkg⁻¹ (dry weight) in the soil and vegetables during the rainy season in Obudu." and "Table 2: Mean concentration of Cd, Co, Cr, & Fe in mgkg⁻¹ (dry weight) in the soil and vegetables during the dry season in Obudu." Lines 167-168 and 170-171. Additionally the authors write that the sample are form Obudu area. OText of lines 96-113 is incorrectly formatted. Again the whole text of the manuscript is the same as the manuscript which has been published. Just the numbers are different.</p>	<p>Observations noted. The Table titles have been corrected and lines 93-113 have been re- formatted. I agree that the Methodology of the 2 articles are the same but the locations, the results, titles and scope are different because health risk analysis considered in this one was not in the other published in CSIJ. Also, the citations are not entirely the same though some of them are reflected because heavy metals are a common factor to both articles. We have also done minor revision in the introduction. We appreciate the Reviewer for drawing our attention to the mix up that occurred in the Table titles which was an oversight. Thanks.</p>