



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_48815
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Composition of Ethanol Extract of a cocktail Herbal Mixture (Aju Mbaise)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Article is well written, informative and excellent designed. Requested to enrich some sentences.	Thank you.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract:</b> Method should be rewrite with name of method. (The qualitative phytochemical analysis was determined by <b>Standard methods</b>)</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> According to [2], plant's medicinal properties are dependent on the plant secondary metabolites contained in them. These metabolites that possess medicinal properties are found only in a few species of plants [2]. (In these sentence, two time same reference).</p> <p>Other claimed benefits of Aju Mbaise decoction include enhancement of ovulation and fertility, prevents halitosis (mouth odour that comes out from the stomach), stops painful and scanty menstruation, and detoxification of dead particles left after miscarriage, anti-malaria, antitumor and anti-inflammatory. [37], reported that the decoction contains bioactive compounds believed to be responsible for the observed antibacterial activities. According to [37], intake of adequate amounts of the decoction can make some contributions to the macro- and micro-mineral value of lactating mothers towards achieving the Recommended Nutrient Intake (RNI) for these minerals.</p> <p>You may write only last one for both case. Too much references</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods:</b> Should mention the voucher no for all plants which may collect from herbarium.</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion:</b> Result is too short. You may explain more.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> Requested to write few lines more. Your article is large one but Conclusion is one sentences.</p> <p><b>Ref: Ok</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Standard method as described by Sofowora (1993), was adopted for the phytochemical analysis.</li> <li>2. Noted and corrected</li> <li>3. I think the results reported are in line with the aim of the study. The same is applicable to the discussions of the results presented.</li> <li>4. The Voucher Number is yet to be provided because of the poor quality of photograph of the plants taken in the field. Though, that did not stop the identification which was done, whereas the researcher (I) will go back to the field and take a clearer and better photographic quality of the plants.</li> <li>5. ALL OTHER COMMENTS HAS BEEN ADDRESSED.</li> </ol>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Recommended for publication. (English should be revised).	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	