



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_48531
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth status and Parasitic Fauna in Intestines, Gills and Skins of Clarias gariepinus Collected from Ogbese River and Owena River, South-West Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The results of the study are interesting, have local importance and is worth to be published. However, the way the results are presented are not organized and scientifically developed. I highly recommend the authors to follow the suggestions I inserted as comments in the word file, including study the references I recommended for the study.	Thank you Suggestions noted and effected
Minor REVISION comments	My corrections and comments are embedded in the word file of the manuscript because I had to make a lot of small corrections and put a lot of comments for corrections and suggestions.	
Optional/General comments	I recommend publication of these interesting results provided that the authors follow the corrections and suggestions, specially regarding the issues with the methodology of parasites sampling that I mentioned in the word file.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	