www.sciencedomain.org



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_41470
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Locally Formulated Watermelon and Moringa Syrup Booster on the Growth Performance of
	Heterobranchus bidorsalis Fingerlings
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
General comment The authors have only partially made the suggested corrections. They didn't	
The authors have only partially made the suggested corrections. They didn't replay to my comments in the 3.Feedback_JALSI_41470_v1_Ric file giving specific answers and indicating the number of the line in the new manuscript. The new manuscript has been little improved. Authors have only deleted without adding any useful information. In general there is a scarce and superficial attention to the changes made in the text. A greater effort should have been made to improve the revision of the formatting throughout the MS, the tables and the legends, including punctuation, parenthesis, spaces between numbers and unit of measure, different size of the font, language, a better description of the results.	
Specific Comment	
Abstract Why the <i>Study design</i> is completely changed in the new version?	
Introduction Lines 23-24: the statement "the fluctuating level of ingredients contained in commercial feed becomes a barrier" is questionable, because formulated commercial feed generally guarantee constant levels of the ingredients, while their cost can oscillate. Please, give a reference to support this statement.	The study design was rephrased because the first study design was not recognised, so i decided to rewrite it.
request not totally accomplished, please clarify in the text of the manuscript what is reported in the reference 6 about the reasons of the fluctuating level of ingredients in commercial feed	I have given a reference to support my statement under introduction, kindly check line 26.
Line 27-31: please, give a reference about the properties of boosters Reference 13 is on properties of Polyphenols that probably are also present in the Moringa and Watermelon, but are not reported in the text, while Carotenoids are present, so the reference 13 is not properly correct.	
Line 35: Watermelon and Moringa syrup booster used are categorized under phytogenics Accomplished	
Lines 37: virus, oxidation etc. They aid in digestion as such Accomplished	I have references to support my statement under growth booster, kindly check lines 35 and 39.
Line 40: please, specify the geographic context in which the local farmers operate	Reference 13 which is now 14 has been corrected and another Reference has been added to support carotenoids, line 31.

Created by: EA Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012) Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO





additives for catfish using other plant products but this research is the first to the best of my knowledge on the utilization of

watermelon (Citrullus lanatus) and Moringa oleifera as

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

Line 125: space between: liter). Weekly

(Nigeria?) Accomplished Line 41: there is a need the opportunity to incorporate the product into preparation of high energy level fish.... Partially accomplished: the article "THE" is lacking Line 43: Heterobranchus bidorsalis, which belongs to the Clariidea family, can de well be reared on formulated and less expensive feed. Accomplished Line 41-48 old manuscript. The language must be carefully revised in in this paragraph, Line 41-43: The type of feed regimen normally used to breed H. bidorsalis should be described as well as the reasons why the feed quality varies over time. Please, support the statement with data or references. Sentence 41-43 deleted but the language in the remaining paragraph is not revised (45-51 new version) Line 46-47 old manuscript: Does it mean that those plant products are already utilized in aquaculture in Nigeria? Please, specify. Corrected Not accomplished, this information is important to better characterize the current state of the catfish farming in Nigeria Line 49-51 old manuscript: the meaning of the sentence is not entirely clear: please specify if plant booster are already used, and in which geographic context ("locally" means Nigeria?) "Considering the plant species used for the locally formulated booster," deleted, but now all the paragraph is disconnected from the rest of the text and It loses its meaning. This paragraph (lines 51-66) should be inserted and merged with the lines 27-31. **Materials and Methods** Line 74-75: please, provide more features related to the commercial feed from the Skretting (for example, if the product is available on a web site), and to the commercial booster (leegrow), linking it to table 1. Line 74: write as follow: Commercial feed of different size 1 mm, 2.5 mm and 3.2 mm (Company: Skretting, proximate composition at Table 4, that should became Table 1 and all the others tables should be changed consequently). In Table 4, Skretting is written as skerettin, please change and modify also variables in sizes. Reference has been added Line 75: write "oleifera" all in italic Line 122-123: as reported in the abstract insert: after coating 2ml/1kg⁻¹ of the Moring and Watermelon has been used in other Animal feed commercial feed with their individual growth booster syrup production, also Dada and Olugbemi, (2013) produced feed Please delete: "as reported in the abstract"

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)





SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

Accomplished	Heterobranchus bidorsalis fingerlings growth booster syrup.
Line 131-133: please, specify which are the "some nutrient utilization variables" If they are protein efficiency ratio (PER) and feed conversion efficiency (FCE), describe how they were evaluated. Sentence deleted	
Line 138-139 old manuscript: "The recorded values of Temperature was at 27-28.9 °C while pH was within the range of 6.0-6.5", this sentence is already reported in the results section and should not be into the materials and methods section. Accomplished	
Results and Discussion	
Lines 173-174: the number of samples on which this analysis was performed for each type of booster is not clear. Please, clarify. Request not accomplished: please give in the text (M&M) or in the Table 1 the number of samples used to calculate means and S.D.	Leegrow is a commercial syrup booster and skretting is also a commercial pelleted fish feed. Both acted as the control against the newly formulated watermelon and moringa syrup booster
Line 176: Why "Ash" is in capital letter?	
Lines 197-198: "Temperature and pH values were measured daily using glass thermometer and pH kit and other physico-chemical parameters were obtained using Extech instrument (Do 700) from Institute of Pollution Studies (IPS) RSU and the values obtained were recorded." please, delete these two sentences, which are are repetitions of MM Accomplished	Corrected. I also corrected an error made. Commercial feed used was 1mm, 1.8mm and 2.5mm not the other way round.
Table 2: please, give the mean and standard deviations or the range for all the parameters measured, specially if they are collected every day, and consequently change <i>Compositions</i> with <i>Values Range</i> or <i>Values Mean Not accomplished</i>	Corrected
Lines 227-229 old manuscript: It is not necessary repeat again: "Commercial feed coated with commercial syrup booster (CbCf), commercial feed (Cf), commercial feed coated with watermelon syrup booster (WbCf) and commercial feed coated with Moringa syrup booster (MbCf). Accomplished	Corrected
Line 230 old manuscript:and WbCf whichit is not correct: change with MbCf Accomplished	
Line 258: growth rate performance(Fig.5) also, please space between performance and (Fig.5)	
Line 315, now line 285: growth rate(Fig.7) against, please space between rate and (Fig.7) Accomplished	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)





SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

Lines 346-350 old manuscript, now 206-310: please rephrase because the comment is not clear, and show the data of PER FCE. Description of the results in the Table 3 are not sufficient and It continue to not be clear	
Lines 325: please put a space "recordedno" Accomplished	
Lines 335: please, provide the carbohydrates data of <i>Moringa oleifera</i> and watermelon in %, as above <i>Not Accomplished</i>	
Line 378: Style of Bibliography is not the same as in the Introduction Accomplished	
Line 384: Style of Bibliography is not the same as in the Introduction Accomplished	
Conclusion	
Lines 396-398: "As such, fish farmers should look inward on the utilization of watermelon growth syrup booster for effective growth performance of catfish". It should be underlined that the watermelon syrup booster could be used by fish farmers not only for the encouraging growth performance data, but also for the more accessible cost and within a policy of green circular economy that aims at food waste recover. Not Accomplished .	
	Only value mean was analysed, no standard deviation

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

Corrected, line 287
Couldn't find where this is but the carbohydrate content of moringa and watermelon (%) is indicated in line 361, also it can be found in table 2

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)