



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_49039
Title of the Manuscript:	The potential of benefiting variation between the same species of Artemisia herba-alba from different Location in Northeast of Libya
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This paper deals with the applications of <i>Artemisia herba-alba</i> plants, it is important for the usage of these plants, so I support it to be published in the journal after minor revision. 1, in table 2, what means of the + ++ +++, please describe them in the table note; 2, what is the extraction method? Please described it in the experiment section or giving a reference;	Thank you so much for review my work We have mean by (+ ++ +++): degree of the colour of indicator that using in our work We will add this part in method.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	