



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_49641
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient, bioactive components and effects of ethanol extracts of the leaves <i>Annona muricata</i> and roots of <i>Fagara zanthoxyloide</i> on zidovudine-induced oxidative stress in wistar rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Authors have mentioned about the proper identification of the plant material and a herbarium being deposited for the same. Authors please state the herbarium reference number in the text. Which model was followed to determine the acute toxicity of the plant material? Why OECD guidelines were not followed? The permission about the animal studies and the protocol approval number from the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee must be mentioned in the manuscript. Overall a scientifically sound study and can be considered in the journal after a proper revision addressing the above concerns.	Thank you for your review. (1). The Voucher number has been inputted. (2). The guideline for Acute toxicity was performed according to OECD (2011) and NIH (1985) as described in Ogbuehi <i>et al.</i> , 2015. We would introduce it in our write up. (3). Ethics committee approval would be added to the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	NIL	
Optional/General comments	NIL	

oPART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	