



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_49641
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient, bioactive components and effects of ethanol extracts of the leaves Annona muricata and roots of Fagara zanthoxyloide on zidovudine-induced oxidative stress in wistar rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This plant have has been Oxidative stress occur occurs when the free Abstract: extracts of the leaves Annona muricata and the roots of Fagara zanthoxyloide on however, Materials and Methods: The leaves and roots of Annona muricata were obtained ----- leaves and roots of Fagara zanthoxyloide were obtained Should be similar expression, please <p>Results and Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plate 1-10 should be expressed under Figure format. 	<p>Thanks for your review.</p> <p>(1&2). The typographical errors have been rectified.</p> <p>(3&4). The discrepancies in the abstract and materials and methods are as a result of the initial pilot study to obtain the nutraceutical components of the roots and leaves of both plants. The animal study on oxidative stress was only on the leaves of AM and the roots of FZ. We wanted to backup the nutraceutical study with an animal study which was done solely on the leaves of AM and roots of FZ due their proposed used by traditional medicine dispensers within this region. Except we would removed the study on the other plant parts not used in the animal study and reserve it for another publication (Please advise if that is an option and cant been accepted in this publication)</p> <p>(5). We believe that photomicrographs should be stated as plates and not figure and we would respectfully appeal that the label be kept same.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> four (4) groups of five (5) rats each because it can confuse with group number 	Done
Optional/General comments	Reference expression in the text should be followed the Journal format.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	