



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_47842
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Characterization of Phosphate Solubilising Rhizobia Nodulating Wild Field pea (<i>pisum sativum var. abyssinicum</i>) from Southern Tigray, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Basically, the paper is good to publish however based on the Turnitin check it was found that the similarities is more than 22 % so, it is really important to revise the paper in order to avoid the plagiarism issues.	I have tried to maximize my effort to minimize the similarities while in which part the similarity it occurs, it is not clear /should be specify which part has plagiarism to correct accordingly/ NB. But I am not clear with the numbers you put it in front of each line (1-465) not only in yours while in most of the editors
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	Basically, the paper is good to publish however based on the Turnitin check it was found that the similarities is more than 22 % so, it is really important to revise the paper in order to avoid the plagiarism issues.	I have tried to maximize my effort to minimize the similarities while in which part the similarity it occurs, it is not clear /should be specify which part has plagiarism to correct accordingly/ NB. But I am not clear with the numbers you put it in front of each line (1-465) not only in yours while in most of the editors