



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_47842
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Characterization of Phosphate Solubilising Rhizobia Nodulating Wild Field pea (<i>pisum sativum var. abyssinicum</i>) from Southern Tigray, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This paper deals with the accumulated phosphates in root nodulating bacteria of field pea. It is important for the <i>productivity of wild field pea</i> . So I support it to be published. In the journal after minor revision. 1, equation must follow its order number; 2, superscript * ** in table 4 must be described in the table note;	$SI = \frac{\text{Colony Diameter} + \text{Halo zone diameter}}{\text{Colony Diameter}} \dots \dots \dots \text{eq1}$ *correlated, ** strongly correlated
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	