



## SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_49688
Title of the Manuscript:	Changes in Microbial Population Numbers During Composting of Some Organic Wastes in Greenhouse
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. It would be better to present the data of Tble 1 as a graph.</p> <p>2. In the graphs, (cfu/g) (the ordinate) should be changed for (cfu/g).</p> <p>3. The text should be checked for errors and misspelling (see e.g. lines 85, 96, 117, 268). Se also lines 14-15: "Standard microbiological methods (?) were used to monitor temperature changes..."</p> <p>4. Lines 295-297. "The microorganisms made use of the organic matter in the compost as food source and this process generated beneficial materials for agricultural usage." It is all very good, but this conclusion does not follow from the results of this study. It could be in the context of Discussion.</p>	<p>1 = I Think the table will assist in understanding the discussion</p> <p>2 = correction effected</p> <p>3 = Errors corrected</p> <p>4 = Noted and corrected</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	