



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_49785
Title of the Manuscript:	Immunoinformatics approach identified two highly conserved B and T cell epitopes, LEASKRWAF and DSPLEASKRWAFRTG, for effective vaccine design against Ebola and Marburg Viruses
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript seems interesting and quite novel. The authors should consider the following comments and suggestions to improve manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check typographical and grammatical errors. 2. Check unnecessary statements within manuscript and expunge. 3. Define all abbreviated words first before using it in manuscript. 4. Make figures readable (especially figure 2a). 5. Conclusion is not capturing striking findings. Rewrite. 6. Arrange manuscript, citations and references according to Journal's guidelines. 	Revision has been done accordingly
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Very good job done. Keep it up!	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>