



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments																																																												
<p>I want another some corrections about the paper with title</p> <p>"Numerical Optics Soliton Solution of the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation Using the Laplace and the Modified Laplace Decomposition method "</p> <p>* Must change mLDM to MLDM in Table title</p> <p>** anyone when he see to two tables ,he found which one method is better than another for accuracy which about Fourteen decimal places and for another method about eight decimal places , so I request compare between these two tables not between the peak of the error surface at time $t = 0.1$ and can write this in conclusion</p> <p>Exact module $\Psi(x,t)$ of the equation Error! Reference source not found.</p> <p>Numerical module of LDM $\Psi_{LDM}(x,t)$ of the equation Error! Reference source not found..</p> <p>Table 1. The Error Module $\Psi(x,t) - \Psi_{LDM}(x,t)$</p> <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>x</th><th>t</th><th>0.0001</th><th>0.001</th><th>0.01</th><th>0.1</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>-20</td><td></td><td>1.5987×10^{-14}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-10}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-6}</td><td>0.015564</td></tr><tr><td>-15</td><td></td><td>1.5543×10^{-14}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-10}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-6}</td><td>0.015564</td></tr><tr><td>-10</td><td></td><td>1.5321×10^{-14}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-10}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-6}</td><td>0.015564</td></tr><tr><td>-5</td><td></td><td>2.1538×10^{-14}</td><td>1.6266×10^{-10}</td><td>1.5691×10^{-6}</td><td>0.015573</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td></td><td>3.0733×10^{-12}</td><td>2.9236×10^{-9}</td><td>1.4213×10^{-6}</td><td>0.01396</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td></td><td>1.5321×10^{-14}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-10}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-6}</td><td>0.015564</td></tr><tr><td>10</td><td></td><td>1.5765×10^{-14}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-10}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-6}</td><td>0.015564</td></tr><tr><td>15</td><td></td><td>1.5765×10^{-14}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-10}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-6}</td><td>0.015564</td></tr><tr><td>20</td><td></td><td>1.5543×10^{-14}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-10}</td><td>1.5625×10^{-6}</td><td>0.015564</td></tr></tbody></table> <p>Exact module $\Psi(x,t)$ of the equation Error! Reference source not found..</p> <p>Numerical module of MLDM $\Psi_{mLDM}(x,t)$ of the equation</p>	x	t	0.0001	0.001	0.01	0.1	-20		1.5987×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564	-15		1.5543×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564	-10		1.5321×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564	-5		2.1538×10^{-14}	1.6266×10^{-10}	1.5691×10^{-6}	0.015573	0		3.0733×10^{-12}	2.9236×10^{-9}	1.4213×10^{-6}	0.01396	5		1.5321×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564	10		1.5765×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564	15		1.5765×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564	20		1.5543×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564	<p>First, we would like to thank the referees and the editorial for their valuable suggestions and comments for improving the manuscript. We believe that this version of the revised manuscript satisfactorily addresses the editorial comments.</p> <p>The requested editing is made as:</p> <p>*All modified Laplace decomposition method's abbreviation is changed to MLDM.</p> <p>**A comparison between the mentioned tables by the editorial is presented in a new table to make a clearer overview of the more accurate method.</p> <p>** The justification of related tables a well as illustrations of the peak error curve and surface is given in the subsection of the numerical comparison.</p>
x	t	0.0001	0.001	0.01	0.1																																																								
-20		1.5987×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564																																																								
-15		1.5543×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564																																																								
-10		1.5321×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564																																																								
-5		2.1538×10^{-14}	1.6266×10^{-10}	1.5691×10^{-6}	0.015573																																																								
0		3.0733×10^{-12}	2.9236×10^{-9}	1.4213×10^{-6}	0.01396																																																								
5		1.5321×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564																																																								
10		1.5765×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564																																																								
15		1.5765×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564																																																								
20		1.5543×10^{-14}	1.5625×10^{-10}	1.5625×10^{-6}	0.015564																																																								



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

Error! Reference source not found..

Table 2.The Error Module $|\Psi(x,t) - \Psi_{mLDM}(x,t)|$

x	t			
	0.0001	0.001	0.01	0.1
-20	7.9802×10^{-8}	7.9869×10^{-6}	0.00080531	0.085765
-15	5.6377×10^{-8}	5.6468×10^{-6}	0.00057374	0.066362
-10	6.6538×10^{-8}	6.6335×10^{-6}	0.00064101	0.020131
-5	1.6119×10^{-8}	1.6089×10^{-6}	0.00015788	0.012966
0	3.9583×10^{-9}	3.67×10^{-7}	8.8355×10^{-6}	0.017336
5	8.6222×10^{-8}	8.6189×10^{-6}	0.00085836	0.080951
10	7.5549×10^{-8}	7.5638×10^{-6}	0.00076522	0.084397
15	3.4809×10^{-8}	3.4498×10^{-6}	0.00031235	0.017082
20	1.0024×10^{-8}	1.0022×10^{-6}	0.00010004	0.0097977

** you must justify your results because it isn't clear enough in your discussion about the peak of the error surface at

time $t = 0.1$

Table 3.The module errors of the appproximated solution of LDM

Error! Reference source not found. and MLDM

Error! Reference source not found..

x	$ \Psi(x,t) - \Psi_{LDM}(x,t) $	$ \Psi(x,t) - \Psi_{mLDM}(x,t) $
-5	0.015573	0.012966
-4	0.015705	0.05414
-3	0.017932	0.04196
-2	0.049846	0.10489
-1	0.032376	0.2089
0	0.01396	0.017336
1	0.015487	0.021197
2	0.01556	0.085782
3	0.015564	0.050521
4	0.015564	0.039992



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

<div>5 0.015564 0.080951</div> <hr/>	
--	--