



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_50085
Title of the Manuscript:	Revisiting Feller Diffusion: Derivation and Simulation
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Write your Abstract as one concise paragraph of about 250 words without the sub-headings of Aims/Objectives, Methodology, and Results. 2. You have about 29 keywords. This is too much! Reduce it to about 5-10 3. Judging from the different colours, there are more than one plot in Fig. 1 and Fig.2 hence, insert legend. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract modified as per reviewer guidelines. 2. Reduced keywords to 11. 3. Each colour represents a different sample simulation path, this is now mentioned in the corresponding figure captions.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		Many thanks for the useful feedback.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	