



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_50085</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Revisiting Feller Diffusion: Derivation and Simulation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>1. Grammar to be checked thoroughly.</b> <b>2. Introduction can be more informative.</b> <b>3. Reference to be more recent publication.</b>	1. Entire document updated for grammar. Technical content unchanged. We used command LaTeX \citep instead of \cite which also helps with the flow and grammar. 2. Revised order of the Introduction section so that the background is first (earlier version we started with the equation). 3. More recent relevant references (from 2008, 2012, 2018) were added. Earlier the most recent reference was from 2015.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<b>1. Conclusion may be added.</b>	As this is an extension of a previously studied problem, we felt it would be best to highlight our approach and the follow-up studies we hope to carry out in the summary section.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		Many thanks for the useful feedback.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	