



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_44855
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of teaching Lazarus Multifaceted Approach on Mental Health of the Elderly
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The article is well formulated and add to the scientific knowledge of the readers' have some queries regarding the manuscript as under:-</p> <p>The article is not well presented without formatting margins.</p> <p>Bold P has been used for p-value. That's a misrepresentation.</p> <p>There are more than 8 tables in the text, that needs to be reduced or some tables merged.</p> <p>The article is very lengthy, authors should present their findings in a brief way.</p> <p>References should have been cited as per journal norms.</p> <p>There are many sub-headings in the text...Seems like it's a review paper...</p> <p>Sample size is not mentioned.</p> <p>Cases taken by authors are very few.</p> <p>Time duration for the intervention needs reference, how can authors tell that 3 months of intervention will bring about change in them.</p> <p>Ethical issues needs to be addressed.</p>	Yes we are agree.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		