



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49836
Title of the Manuscript:	The effects of oral contraceptives on nutrient status, with especial consideration to folate
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The review article is well written, it discusses an important point about commonly used oral contraceptives. The Introduction is well-written manuscript is free of this bias and clearly explains why the authors went to all the trouble of writing manuscript. The purpose of the Introduction provide the rationale for the study and explain the study's goals.</p> <p>The Results section is not well organized.; the author should consider organizing it.</p> <p>The discussion discusses the findings against the background of previous work and explain discrepancies with previously published reports.</p>	<p>Thank you. An additional two sentences have been added to the introduction to address these issues</p> <p>This sequence follows that which is typically standard for listing of micronutrients on vitamin supplement labels and hence was presented in this manner to be consistent and meaningful to those familiar with this convention.</p> <p>I'm unsure of the point being made here. The discussion puts the results into the context of oral contraceptive usership, identifies that use is often discontinued as a result of side effects that are the result of likely impacts that the medication induces on micronutrient status. It then addresses the issue of folate status, the likely consequence of use of OCs on that parameter and discusses the potential benefit of supplementation in that context. (Please see the response to the other review which hopefully further addresses this specific point.)</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Vitamin B1; line 4 It was found instead of it found	corrected
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	