



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50502
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Uptake and Barriers to Pap Smear Test among Female Workers in the Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled as "Knowledge, Uptake and Barriers to Pap Smear Test among Female Workers in the Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria" is very nicely written, very informative. The manuscript needs little polishing and minor revision before this is accepted for publication. Please find comments below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the table after "Frequency" put (N) in Table 1. It will be easier to understand. 2. The figures don't look professional. Please replace them with more clear and bright ones. 3. Is there any consent taken from people or patients volunteered for research. This can be mentioned in the methods section. 4. The manuscript needs little polishing in grammar and typing errors. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. corrected for Table 1 & 2 2. They have been adjusted; clearer if opened with Microsoft 2010-13 3. Respondents volunteered; see manuscript for corrections made. 4. Those seen have been corrected, see manuscript. <p>Many thanks.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	