



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_46209
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN AYURVEDIC MEDICINE FOR THE WRIST FRACTURE
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have made some suggestions directly on the work please attend to them. Thank you	I made all the corrections.
Minor REVISION comments		-
Optional/General comments		-

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>The author should include any form of ethical approval obtained from the right regulatory body considering this work was carried out on human subjects. Thank you</p>	This research conducted in Bandaranayake Memorial Ayurvedic Research Institute. That's why I didn't get ethical clearance.